



Agency Critique

SECTION A: Agency Information	
Agency Name:	
Chief Executive Law Enforcement Officer (CLEO) Na	me & Title:
Accreditation Manager's Name & Title:	
Date of Assessment:	Type of Assessment:
Type of Agency:	Agency Size:
SECTION B: Assessment Team	
Lead Assessor:	
Assessor(s):	
Apprentice Assessor(s):	





SECTION C: KLEAP Staff Ratings

1. If y	ou had occasion to contact the KI	LEAP Staff would you agree they were consistently very helpful?
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
2. Hov	w would you rate the quality of se	rvice provided by KLEAP staff?
	Very High Quality	Please Comment:
	High Quality	
	Neither High nor Low Quality	
	Low Quality	
	Very Low Quality	
3. The	KLEAP staff always responded	l to my requests in a timely manner?
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	

Email Completed form to: KLEAP@kletc.org
Contact the KLEAP Program Director: (785) 341-0103





SECTION D: KLEAP Program Ratings

4. Hov	w would you rate the Accreditation	on Manager Training provided by the KLEAP Staff?
	Excellent	Please Comment:
	Good	
	Fair	
	Poor	
	Very Poor	
5. Hov	w likely is it that you would recor	nmend KLEAP to another Kansas law enforcement agency?
	Extremely Likely	Please Comment:
	Likely	
	Undecided	
	Very Unlikely	
	Extremely Unlikely	
6. Ove	erall, how satisfied or dissatisfied	I are you with the KLEAP?
	Very Satisfied	Please Comment:
	Somewhat Satisfied	
	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	
	Very Dissatisfied	





7. How would you rate the cost of KLl	EAP?
Excellent	Please Comment:
Above Average	
Average	
Below Average	
Poor	
SECTION E: Assessment Team Ratin	gs
8. The assessment team demonstrated a procedures when conducting our on	a thorough knowledge and understanding of the KLEAP policies and a-site assessment.
Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
Agree	
Somewhat Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
9. The assessment team demonstrated a when conducting our on-site assessment.	a thorough knowledge and understanding of the KLEAP standards nent.
Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
Agree	
Somewhat Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
Fmail	Completed form to: KLEAP@kletc.org





e assessment team demonstrated a EAP processes relating to the or	thorough knowledge and understanding of the components of the n-site assessment .
Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
Agree	
Somewhat Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
	thorough knowledge and understanding of the operations of a law d of the functions your agency routinely performs.
Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
Agree	
Somewhat Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	
site.	having prior knowledge of your agency preceding their arriving
Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
Agree	
Somewhat Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	





13. The	assessment team was courteous	to all agency personnel.
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
14. If a	dditional information was request	ed, the assessment team did so in a timely manner.
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
15. The assessment team gave appropriate attention to all facets of the on-site assessment.		
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	





16. The	e assessment team demonstrated a	freedom from bias in its conduct of activities.
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
17. The	e assessment team made commen	ts and suggestions that were reasonable, realistic, and constructive.
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
	e assessment team contributed to a sions with agency personnel. Strongly Agree	n open, honest and constructive atmosphere during discussion Please Comment:
	Agree	T lease Comment.
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	





SECTION F: On-Site Visit Ratings

	were notified reference the assessment when we wish with the contract of the visit.	ssment team's on-site schedule in sufficient time to properly
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
-	ficient to complete a thorough a	
Ш	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	
	e activities of the assessment team nager.	n were well coordinated with our agency's Accreditation
	Strongly Agree	Please Comment:
	Agree	
	Somewhat Agree	
	Disagree	
	Strongly Disagree	





SECTION G: Informative Ratings

22. Did	your agency participate in a moc	k assessment prior to scheduling your on-site assessment?
	Yes	Please Comment:
	No	
23. Hov	w did your agency hear about the	Kansas Law Enforcement Accreditation Program?
	Another Agency	Please Comment:
Ш	Another Agency	r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference	r lease Comment.
		r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference	r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference Kansas Accreditation Council	r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference Kansas Accreditation Council KACP	r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference Kansas Accreditation Council KACP KSA	r lease Comment.
	Booth/Conference Kansas Accreditation Council KACP KSA KLETC Staff	r lease Comment.